

catena-Poly[[[dipyridinecopper(II)]- μ -2,3,5,6-tetramethylbenzene-1,4-di-carboxylato] monohydrate]

Xiaoqin Hu

Department of Chemistry, North University of China, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030051, People's Republic of China
Correspondence e-mail: hxqyxt8888@163.com


Received 24 July 2010; accepted 15 September 2010

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 298\text{ K}$; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.003\text{ \AA}$; R factor = 0.029; wR factor = 0.086; data-to-parameter ratio = 18.3.

In the title complex, $\{[\text{Cu}(\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{12}\text{O}_4)(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5\text{N})_2]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\}_n$, the Cu^{II} ion lies on an inversion center and is coordinated by two O atoms from two 2,3,5,6-tetramethylbenzene-1,4-dicarboxylate (TBDC) ligands and two N atoms from two pyridine ligands in a slightly distorted square-planar environment. The TBDC ligands act as bridging ligands, forming chains along [110]. These chains are further linked into a two-dimensional network via intermolecular $\text{O}-\text{H}\cdots\text{O}$ hydrogen bonds. The solvent water molecule lies on a twofold rotation axis.

Related literature

For related structures, see: Chun *et al.* (2005); Diniz *et al.* (2002).



Experimental

Crystal data

$[\text{Cu}(\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{12}\text{O}_4)(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5\text{N})_2]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$	$V = 2327.2 (3)\text{ \AA}^3$
$M_r = 459.98$	$Z = 4$
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$a = 13.3280 (8)\text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 0.97\text{ mm}^{-1}$
$b = 17.1434 (11)\text{ \AA}$	$T = 298\text{ K}$
$c = 10.7390 (7)\text{ \AA}$	$0.15 \times 0.10 \times 0.08\text{ mm}$
$\beta = 108.481 (1)^{\circ}$	

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer	6747 measured reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>SADABS</i> ; Sheldrick, 1996)	2594 independent reflections
$T_{\min} = 0.868$, $T_{\max} = 0.926$	2283 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.017$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.029$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$wR(F^2) = 0.086$	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.34\text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
$S = 1.06$	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.31\text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
2594 reflections	
142 parameters	

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^{\circ}$).

$D-\text{H}\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}$	$\text{H}\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-\text{H}\cdots A$
O01—H1A \cdots O1	0.92 (3)	1.93 (3)	2.854 (2)	173 (3)

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 2007); data reduction: *SAINT-Plus*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The author is grateful for funding support from the Natural Science Foundation of Shanxi Province (2007011033).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: LH5099).

References

- Bruker (2007). *SMART* and *SAINT-Plus*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Chun, H., Dybtsev, D. N., Kim, H. & Kim, K. (2005). *Chem. Eur. J.* **11**, 3521–3529.
- Diniz, R., de Abreu, H. A., de Almeida, W. B., Sansiviero, M. T. C. & Fernandes, N. G. (2002). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* pp. 1115–1123.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). *SADABS*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst. A* **64**, 112–122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst. D* **65**, 148–155.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, m1301 [doi:10.1107/S1600536810036913]

catena-Poly[[dipyridinecopper(II)]- μ -2,3,5,6-tetramethylbenzene-1,4-dicarboxylato] mono-hydrate]

X. Hu

Comment

The title compound (**I**), was designed as a ligand for preparing MOF materials and its single-crystal is presented herein. Some crystal structures containing TBDC and 1,2,4,5-benzenetetracarboxylate as ligands have already appeared in the literature (Chun *et al.*, 2005; Diniz *et al.*, 2002). The asymmetric unit (labeled in Fig. 1) contains one half copper ion, one pyridine ligand, one half solvent water molecule and half of a TBDC ligand (Fig 1.). The Cu^{II} ion lies on an inversion center and is coordinated by two oxygen atoms from two TBDC ligands and two nitrogen atoms from two pyridine ligands in a slightly distorted square-planar environment. The TBDC ligands act as bridging to form one-dimensional chains along [110] (Fig 2.). These chains are further linked into a two-dimensional network via intermolecular O-H···O hydrogen bonds (Fig. 3).

Experimental

A mixture of Cu(NO₃)₂(20 mg, 0.08 mmol), H₂TBDC (10 mg, 0.05 mmol) and two drops of pyridine was suspended in 15 ml water and heated in a teflon-lined steel bomb at 100 centigrade degree for 3 days. The block blue crystals of the title compound were obtained, washed with water and dried in the air.

Refinement

H atoms bonded to C atoms were placed in calculated positions with C-H = 0.93–0.96 Å and included in the refinement with U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C) or 1.5U_{eq}(C) for methyl H atoms. The unique H atom of the water molecule was refined independently with an isotropic displacement parameter. Since our goal was to prepare a porous material the solvent accessible voids of 138.00 Å³ present in the structure might be expected.

Figures




Fig. 1. View of the coordination around the Cu^{II} ion in the title compound. Probability ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% level. Only the atoms of the asymmetric unit are labeled.




Fig. 2. Part of the one-dimensional chain of the title compound.




Fig. 3. Part of the crystal structure of the title compound with hydrogen bonds shown as dashed lines. Only H atoms involved in hydrogen bonds are shown.

supplementary materials

catena-Poly[[[dipyridinecopper(II)]- μ -2,3,5,6-tetramethylbenzene- 1,4-dicarboxylato] monohydrate]

Crystal data

[Cu(C ₁₂ H ₁₂ O ₄)(C ₅ H ₅ N) ₂]·H ₂ O	$F(000) = 956$
$M_r = 459.98$	$D_x = 1.313 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
Hall symbol: -C 2yc	Cell parameters from 3713 reflections
$a = 13.3280 (8) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 2.4\text{--}27.5^\circ$
$b = 17.1434 (11) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 0.97 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$c = 10.7390 (7) \text{ \AA}$	$T = 298 \text{ K}$
$\beta = 108.481 (1)^\circ$	Block, blue
$V = 2327.2 (3) \text{ \AA}^3$	$0.15 \times 0.10 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$
$Z = 4$	

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer	2594 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube graphite	2283 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
φ and ω scans	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.017$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADBAS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^\circ, \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.4^\circ$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.868, T_{\text{max}} = 0.926$	$h = -17 \rightarrow 10$
6747 measured reflections	$k = -19 \rightarrow 21$
	$l = -10 \rightarrow 13$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Least-squares matrix: full	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.029$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$wR(F^2) = 0.086$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$S = 1.06$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0467P)^2 + 1.775P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
2594 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
142 parameters	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.34 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.31 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations

between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Cu1	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.02763 (11)
O2	0.07772 (9)	0.07930 (7)	-0.06903 (12)	0.0326 (3)
N1	-0.12716 (11)	0.06855 (8)	-0.04454 (14)	0.0313 (3)
O1	0.10913 (11)	0.10867 (8)	0.14011 (13)	0.0418 (3)
C1	0.12054 (13)	0.12134 (9)	0.03160 (17)	0.0294 (3)
C2	0.18792 (13)	0.18884 (9)	0.01433 (16)	0.0289 (3)
C3	0.13967 (13)	0.25988 (10)	-0.03235 (17)	0.0312 (4)
C5	0.29738 (13)	0.17762 (10)	0.04732 (17)	0.0315 (4)
C11	-0.17376 (16)	0.08364 (12)	0.0463 (2)	0.0416 (4)
H11	-0.1455	0.0619	0.1294	0.050*
C7	-0.16747 (16)	0.10076 (13)	-0.1635 (2)	0.0451 (5)
H7	-0.1352	0.0910	-0.2270	0.054*
C4	0.02162 (15)	0.26957 (12)	-0.0624 (2)	0.0474 (5)
H4A	-0.0085	0.2213	-0.0462	0.071*
H4B	-0.0097	0.2840	-0.1528	0.071*
H4C	0.0081	0.3096	-0.0073	0.071*
C6	0.34512 (16)	0.09915 (12)	0.0956 (3)	0.0512 (5)
H6A	0.2904	0.0640	0.1001	0.077*
H6B	0.3961	0.1048	0.1813	0.077*
H6C	0.3793	0.0788	0.0361	0.077*
C9	-0.3036 (2)	0.16249 (18)	-0.1002 (3)	0.0691 (7)
H9	-0.3636	0.1938	-0.1193	0.083*
C10	-0.2619 (2)	0.13013 (15)	0.0210 (3)	0.0598 (6)
H10	-0.2928	0.1394	0.0861	0.072*
C8	-0.2556 (2)	0.14817 (16)	-0.1942 (2)	0.0634 (7)
H8	-0.2823	0.1702	-0.2774	0.076*
O01	0.0000	0.21858 (15)	0.2500	0.0601 (6)
H1A	0.040 (2)	0.1861 (19)	0.216 (3)	0.094 (11)*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Cu1	0.02676 (16)	0.02207 (16)	0.03625 (18)	-0.00754 (10)	0.01309 (12)	0.00071 (10)
O2	0.0348 (6)	0.0276 (6)	0.0381 (7)	-0.0120 (5)	0.0153 (5)	-0.0013 (5)
N1	0.0316 (7)	0.0265 (7)	0.0372 (8)	-0.0057 (6)	0.0128 (6)	-0.0004 (6)
O1	0.0521 (8)	0.0389 (7)	0.0396 (7)	-0.0136 (6)	0.0222 (6)	0.0006 (6)
C1	0.0286 (8)	0.0235 (8)	0.0385 (9)	-0.0048 (6)	0.0140 (7)	0.0024 (6)

supplementary materials

C2	0.0308 (8)	0.0242 (8)	0.0332 (8)	-0.0089 (6)	0.0121 (6)	-0.0012 (6)
C3	0.0280 (8)	0.0278 (8)	0.0382 (9)	-0.0059 (6)	0.0113 (7)	0.0009 (7)
C5	0.0307 (8)	0.0245 (8)	0.0400 (9)	-0.0049 (6)	0.0120 (7)	0.0023 (7)
C11	0.0478 (11)	0.0397 (10)	0.0400 (10)	0.0014 (8)	0.0180 (8)	0.0000 (8)
C7	0.0462 (11)	0.0508 (12)	0.0397 (10)	0.0010 (9)	0.0154 (8)	0.0041 (9)
C4	0.0315 (9)	0.0413 (11)	0.0705 (14)	-0.0024 (8)	0.0178 (9)	0.0140 (10)
C6	0.0395 (10)	0.0303 (10)	0.0824 (16)	-0.0018 (8)	0.0175 (10)	0.0143 (10)
C9	0.0547 (14)	0.0729 (18)	0.0800 (18)	0.0285 (13)	0.0219 (13)	0.0116 (14)
C10	0.0622 (14)	0.0614 (15)	0.0669 (15)	0.0164 (12)	0.0364 (12)	0.0021 (12)
C8	0.0574 (14)	0.0753 (17)	0.0524 (13)	0.0182 (12)	0.0102 (11)	0.0181 (12)
O01	0.0687 (16)	0.0490 (14)	0.0683 (15)	0.000	0.0296 (13)	0.000

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

Cu1—O2	1.9894 (11)	C11—H11	0.9300
Cu1—O2 ⁱ	1.9894 (11)	C7—C8	1.380 (3)
Cu1—N1	1.9920 (15)	C7—H7	0.9300
Cu1—N1 ⁱ	1.9921 (15)	C4—H4A	0.9600
O2—C1	1.273 (2)	C4—H4B	0.9600
N1—C11	1.337 (2)	C4—H4C	0.9600
N1—C7	1.338 (3)	C6—H6A	0.9600
O1—C1	1.241 (2)	C6—H6B	0.9600
C1—C2	1.512 (2)	C6—H6C	0.9600
C2—C3	1.394 (2)	C9—C10	1.361 (4)
C2—C5	1.401 (2)	C9—C8	1.377 (4)
C3—C5 ⁱⁱ	1.401 (2)	C9—H9	0.9300
C3—C4	1.512 (2)	C10—H10	0.9300
C5—C3 ⁱⁱ	1.401 (2)	C8—H8	0.9300
C5—C6	1.508 (3)	O01—H1A	0.92 (3)
C11—C10	1.374 (3)		
O2—Cu1—O2 ⁱ	180.00 (6)	N1—C7—C8	121.7 (2)
O2—Cu1—N1	90.64 (5)	N1—C7—H7	119.1
O2 ⁱ —Cu1—N1	89.36 (5)	C8—C7—H7	119.1
O2—Cu1—N1 ⁱ	89.36 (5)	C3—C4—H4A	109.5
O2 ⁱ —Cu1—N1 ⁱ	90.65 (5)	C3—C4—H4B	109.5
N1—Cu1—N1 ⁱ	180.0	H4A—C4—H4B	109.5
C1—O2—Cu1	102.52 (10)	C3—C4—H4C	109.5
C11—N1—C7	118.53 (17)	H4A—C4—H4C	109.5
C11—N1—Cu1	119.71 (13)	H4B—C4—H4C	109.5
C7—N1—Cu1	121.76 (13)	C5—C6—H6A	109.5
O1—C1—O2	122.84 (15)	C5—C6—H6B	109.5
O1—C1—C2	120.22 (15)	H6A—C6—H6B	109.5
O2—C1—C2	116.93 (14)	C5—C6—H6C	109.5
C3—C2—C5	122.37 (14)	H6A—C6—H6C	109.5
C3—C2—C1	119.25 (14)	H6B—C6—H6C	109.5
C5—C2—C1	118.37 (15)	C10—C9—C8	119.0 (2)
C2—C3—C5 ⁱⁱ	118.98 (15)	C10—C9—H9	120.5

C2—C3—C4	120.19 (15)	C8—C9—H9	120.5
C5 ⁱⁱ —C3—C4	120.80 (16)	C9—C10—C11	119.3 (2)
C2—C5—C3 ⁱⁱ	118.64 (15)	C9—C10—H10	120.4
C2—C5—C6	120.10 (15)	C11—C10—H10	120.4
C3 ⁱⁱ —C5—C6	121.25 (16)	C9—C8—C7	119.2 (2)
N1—C11—C10	122.3 (2)	C9—C8—H8	120.4
N1—C11—H11	118.9	C7—C8—H8	120.4
C10—C11—H11	118.9		
O2 ⁱ —Cu1—O2—C1	93.10 (11)	C1—C2—C3—C5 ⁱⁱ	179.58 (16)
N1—Cu1—O2—C1	89.16 (11)	C5—C2—C3—C4	-178.05 (18)
N1 ⁱ —Cu1—O2—C1	-90.84 (11)	C3—C2—C5—C3 ⁱⁱ	-0.2 (3)
O2—Cu1—N1—C11	-129.39 (14)	C1—C2—C5—C3 ⁱⁱ	-179.59 (16)
O2 ⁱ —Cu1—N1—C11	50.61 (14)	C3—C2—C5—C6	-179.15 (18)
O2—Cu1—N1—C7	50.15 (15)	C1—C2—C5—C6	1.5 (3)
O2 ⁱ —Cu1—N1—C7	-129.85 (15)	C7—N1—C11—C10	0.8 (3)
Cu1—O2—C1—O1	-0.1 (2)	Cu1—N1—C11—C10	-179.66 (18)
Cu1—O2—C1—C2	179.68 (12)	C11—N1—C7—C8	-0.5 (3)
O1—C1—C2—C3	-94.9 (2)	Cu1—N1—C7—C8	179.92 (18)
O2—C1—C2—C3	85.3 (2)	C8—C9—C10—C11	-0.5 (4)
O1—C1—C2—C5	84.5 (2)	N1—C11—C10—C9	-0.3 (4)
O2—C1—C2—C5	-95.3 (2)	C10—C9—C8—C7	0.7 (4)
C5—C2—C3—C5 ⁱⁱ	0.2 (3)	N1—C7—C8—C9	-0.2 (4)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, -y, -z$; (ii) $-x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

$D\text{—H}\cdots A$	$D\text{—H}$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D\text{—H}\cdots A$
O01—H1A \cdots O1	0.92 (3)	1.93 (3)	2.854 (2)	173 (3)

supplementary materials

Fig. 1





Fig. 2



supplementary materials

Fig. 3

